## 1ac

### Plan

**Text: The United States Federal Government should establish a limited ex parte and ex ante judicial review process for targeted killing by drones.**

### Adv 1

Contention 1 – Accountability

#### Drone proliferation is coming – accountability is critical to prevent conflict.

Ingersoll and Kelley, Business Insider, 1-9-13

[Geoffrey and Michael, “America Is Setting A Dangerous Precedent For The Drone Age” <http://www.businessinsider.com/america-is-setting-a-dangerous-precedent-for-the-drone-age-2013-1>

The decisions America makes today regarding drone policy could come back to haunt it sooner

AND

is waging its fight against terrorism in accordance with the rule of law."

#### Drone conflict escalates.

Cronin, George Mason University public policy professor, 13

[Audrey Kurth, Foreign Affairs, Jul/Aug 2013, Vol 92 Issue 4, “Why Drones Fail” Ebsco, accessed 6-30-13, TAP]

The sometimes contradictory demands of the American people -- perfect security at home without burdensome

AND

-- then al Qaeda will be the least of the United States' worries.

#### Accountability gives the US leverage to pressure other countries into using best practices.

Zenko, CFR Center for Preventive Action Douglas Dillon fellow, 13

[Micah, Council Special Report No. 65, January 2013, “Reforming U.S. Drone Strike Policies”<http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2013/04/16/clip_the_agencys_wings_cia_drones?page=full> p.24-5, accessed 6-20-13, TAP]

Beyond the United States, drones are proliferating even as they are¶ becoming increasingly

AND

likely to use lethal force against the United States¶ and its allies.

#### Drones cause miscalc in the South and East China Seas – that escalates.

Xiaolin, PhD student in Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, National university of Singapore, 11-2-13

(Duan, “The Rise of the Drones,” http://thediplomat.com/2013/11/02/the-rise-of-the-drones/?all=true, accessed 11-4-13, CMM)

In a broader sense, America’s use of drones may create more strategic dilemmas for

AND

use should be taken very seriously, and condemned by the international community.

#### Asian instability escalates to nuclear war.

Landay, National Security and Intelligence Correspondent, 2K

(Jonathan S., “Top administration officials warn stakes for U.S. are high in Asian conflicts”, 3-10, Knight Ridder/Tribune News) Accessed on LexisNexis 12-29-09

Few if any experts think China and Taiwan, North Korea and South Korea,

AND

that totaled $600 billion last year, according to the Commerce Department.

#### Escalation is likely – collapses the economy

Cronin, Center for New American Security Asia-Pacific security program senior director, 9-7-12

[Patrick, “Averting Conflict in the South China Sea” <http://www.chinausfocus.com/peace-security/averting-conflict-in-the-south-china-sea/>, accessed 10-29-12, TAP]

Despite rising tensions over the South China Sea, conflict can and should be averted

AND

the South China Sea will only be harnessed when there is such cooperation.

#### Economic collapse leads to global war.

Lind**, New America Foundation Economic Growth Program Policy Director**, 5/11/2010

[Michael, "Will the great recession lead to World War IV?," http://www.salon.com/news/economics/index.html?story=/opinion/feature/2010/05/11/great\_recession\_world\_war\_iv]

If history is any guide, an era of global economic stagnation will help the

AND

Eurasia, Eastasia and Oceania in 1984 is all too easy to imagine.

### Adv 2

#### Contention 2 – Hegemony

#### Wide-scale restriction of drone use coming – only increasing accountability solves.

Zenko, CFR Center for Preventive Action Douglas Dillon fellow, 13

[Micah, Council Special Report No. 65, January 2013, “Reforming U.S. Drone Strike Policies”<http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2013/04/16/clip_the_agencys_wings_cia_drones?page=full> p.22, accessed 6-20-13, TAP]

In his Nobel Peace Prize acceptance speech, President Obama declared:¶ “Where force

AND

the¶ United States modified its drone policy in the ways suggested below.

#### Structural change is inevitable – US leadership prevents great power war that triggers extinction.

Barnett, Wikistrat geostrategic analysis consulting firm chief analyst, 11

[Thomas, 3-7-11, “The New Rules: Leadership Fatigue Puts U.S., and Globalization, at Crossroads” <http://www.worldpoliticsreview.com/articles/8099/the-new-rules-leadership-fatigue-puts-u-s-and-globalization-at-crossroads>, accessed 9-15-12, TAP]

It is worth first examining the larger picture: We live in a time of

AND

in all of its forms, deeply embedded in the geometry to come.

#### Heg solves conflict – it prevents transition wars and de-escalates conflicts.

BROOKS et al, Associate Professor of Government at Dartmouth College, 13

 (Stephen, John IKENBERRY, Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University, William WOHLFORTH, Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University, “Lean Forward,” Foreign Affairs; Jan/Feb2013, Vol. 92 Issue 1, p130-142, 13p, 1 Black and White Photograph, esbco, accessed 1-13-13, CMM)

KEEPING THE PEACE¶ Of course, even if it is true that the costs

AND

an engaged and liberal leading power. The results could well be disastrous.

#### Decline causes US lashout.

Lieven, New America Foundation, 2011

[Anatol, 7-11-11, “U.S.-Russian Relations and the Rise of China” <http://newamerica.net/publications/policy/us_russian_relations_and_the_rise_of_china>, accessed 9-28-13, TAP]

At the same time, it would be very unwise to be complacent about the

AND

between the U.S. and China in the decades to come.

#### Drones solve overstretch.

Rushforth, University of Arizona JD candidate, 12

[Elinor, 29 Ariz. J. Int'l & Comp. Law 623, “NOTE: THERE'S AN APP FOR THAT: IMPLICATIONS OF ARMED DRONE ATTACKS AND PERSONALITY STRIKES BY THE UNITED STATES AGAINST NON-CITIZENS, 2004-2012” Lexis, accessed 9-28-13, TAP]

The drone program is a fixture in the Obama administration's fight against terror n163 and

AND

that its full focus is on protecting and growing our nation at home.

#### Overstretch collapses heg.

Florig, University of Hankuk foreign studies professor, 10

[Dennis, Review of International Studies, Vol. 36, No. 4, October 2010, “Hegemonic Overreach vs. Imperial Overstretch” <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1548783>, p.23-7, accessed 9-28-13, TAP]

Despite the belief of some in the U.S. in the divine sanction

AND

or other WMD by the rising number of powers who possess them looms.

#### Heg best explains global peace.

Owen, associate professor in the University of Virginia’s Department of Politics, 11

(John, 2-11-11, “Don’t Discount Hegemony,” http://www.cato-unbound.org/2011/02/11/john-owen/dont-discount-hegemony, accessed 8-12-13, CMM)

Our colleagues at Simon Fraser University are brave indeed. That may sound like a

AND

are now seeing is about much more than the humbling of a superpower.

### Solvency

#### Contention 3: Solvency

#### Executive secrecy guts support for drones – a drone court garners legitimacy for the drone program.

Johnson, former Pentagon general counsel, 3-18-13

[Jeh Charles, “Keynote address at the Center on National Security at Fordham Law School: A “Drone Court”: Some Pros and Cons” <http://www.lawfareblog.com/2013/03/jeh-johnson-speech-on-a-drone-court-some-pros-and-cons/>, accessed 9-3-13, TAP]

Thank you for this invitation. Today I want to join the current public debate

AND

who actually wouldn’t mind the added comfort of judicial imprimatur on their decisions.

#### Congress can establish an effective drone court – Congressional involvement creates credibility of drone strikes with the public.

McKelvey, Vanderbilt University JD Candidate, 11

[Benjamin, Vanderbilt journal of transnational law editorial board executive development editor, Vol 44, “Due Process Rights and the Targeted Killing of Suspected Terrorists: The Unconstitutional Scope of Executive Killing Power” http://www.vanderbilt.edu/jotl/manage/wp-content/uploads/mckelvey-pdf.pdf, p.1378-9, accessed 9-15-13, TAP]

As the Aulaqi case demonstrates, any resolution to the problem ¶ of targeted killing

AND

the use of all ¶ peaceful measures before lethal force is pursued.212

#### Congress supporting the legality of the drone program is key

Anderson, professor of international law at Washington College of Law, American University, 10-18-13

(Kenneth, “No Safe Havens?,” Hoover Digest, No. 4, Fall 2013 by Hoover Institution http://www.hoover.org/publications/hoover-digest/article/159096, accessed 10-19-13, CMM)

Without a hardheaded effort on the part of Congress and the executive¶ branch to

AND

Jack¶ Goldsmith have repeatedly warned, they might well be miscalculating now.

U.S. counterterrorism policy overall needs to be embedded in policies,¶ processes

AND

framework for the¶ long run, but effectively to outlaw the practice.

Republicans should not be enablers in this effort. They should not¶ mimic the

AND

future presidents,¶ among whom there will surely be a Republican or two.

#### “Limited” means only questions of eligibility will be addressed by the court

Weinberger, University of Puget Sound political science professor, 2013

[Seth, Global Security Studies Review, May 2013, Volume I, Issue 2, “Enemies Among Us: The Targeted Killing of American Members of al Qaeda and the Need for Congressional Leadership” https://www.academia.edu/4033328/Enemies\_Among\_Us\_The\_Targeted\_Killing\_of\_American\_Members\_of\_al\_Qaeda\_and\_the\_Need\_for\_Congressional\_Leadership, p.20, accessed 1-23-14, TAP]

Several people have voiced objections to the creation of a FISA-¶ style “drone

AND

nature of the armed conflict against al Qaeda, they become less compelling.

First, if properly defined, the new court could be limited solely to questions

AND

¶ individuals believed to be agents of a foreign power without a warrant.

Second, given the definition of imminent threat in the Department of Justice’s¶ white

AND

made in the process of long investigations and in light of much intelligence.

#### Best evidence says modernity solves violence

Pinker, psychology professor at Harvard University, ‘12

[Steven, Current History, Jan, Ebsco EJS]

The decline of violence may be the most significant and least appreciated development in the

AND

and science have not, of course, pushed steadily in one direction;

 nor will they ever bring about a utopia or end the frictions and hurts that come with being human. But on top of all the benefits that modernity has brought us in health, experience, and knowledge, we can add its role in the reduction of violence.

#### Accountability allows the US to shape international norms.

Whibley, Victoria University of Wellington international relations MA, 2-6-13

[James, Georgetown journal of international affairs, “The Proliferation of Drone Warfare: The Weakening of Norms and International Precedent” <http://journal.georgetown.edu/2013/02/06/the-proliferation-of-drone-warfare-the-weakening-of-norms-and-international-precedent-by-james-whibley/>, accessed 7-29-13, TAP]

If drones are destined to proliferate, the more important issue may become whether American

AND

, then surely China or Russia possessing such a program would be terrifying.

#### Trade doesn’t check

Medcalf, Lowy Institute (Sydney) International Security Programme Director, and Heinrichs, Australian National University Strategic and Defence Studies Centre Scholar, 11

(Rory and Raoul, 6-27-11, “Asia’s Maritime Confidence Crisis,” http://the-diplomat.com/2011/06/27/asia%E2%80%99s-maritime-confidence-crisis/?all=true, accessed 10-9-11, CMM)

To the casual observer, recent security tensions in Asian waters might seem a storm

AND

and confidence-building, these events are increasing in frequency and intensity.

 The harassment by Chinese civilian vessels of the USNS Impeccable in 2009 presaged a

AND

relations among major powers, with dangerous implications for regional peace and stability.

\

## 2ac

### 2ac – T – Restrictions

#### 1. We meet statutory restrictions.

Chehab, Georgetown Law Center, 2012

[Ahmad, 3-30-12, “Retrieving the Role of Accountability in the Targeted Killings Context: A Proposal for Judicial Review” http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2031572, p.26-7, accessed 9-15-13, TAP]

The creation of the Covert Operations Against American Citizens Court (COAACC) would help

AND

the use of electronic eavesdropping in the context of foreign intelligence gathering.121

#### 2. We meet judicial restrictions.

Guiora, University of Utah law professor, 2012

[Amos, Case Western Reserve Journal of Internal Law, vol 45, “Targeted Killing: When Proportionality Gets All Out of Proportion” http://law.case.edu/journals/JIL/Documents/45CaseWResJIntlL1&2.13.Article.Guiora.pdf, p.239, accessed 9-15-13, TAP]

The solution to this search for an actionable guideline is the strict ¶ scrutiny standard

AND

balance enabling the ¶ state to act sooner but subject to significant restrictions.

#### 1. We meet – a drone court restricts the president’s war power authority to do targeted killings.

Benson, CNN, 2-9-13

[Pam, “Drone court considered” <http://security.blogs.cnn.com/2013/02/09/legislators-consider-new-court-to-oversee-drone-strike-decisions/>, accessed 9-3-13, TAP]

Should federal judges weigh in on a president's decision to pursue and kill terrorists overseas

AND

the hearing was to create a new court to oversee such presidential decisions.

#### 3. Counter-interpretation – restriction means a limit and includes conditions on action.

Snow, COURT OF APPEALS OF ARIZONA judge, 8

(G. Murray, COURT OF APPEALS OF ARIZONA, DIVISION ONE, DEPARTMENT A, STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. JEREMY RAY WAGNER, Appellant., 2008 Ariz. App. Unpub. LEXIS 613, accessed 9-18-13, CMM)

P10 The term "restriction" is not defined by the Legislature for the purposes

AND

natural and obvious meaning, which may be discerned from its dictionary definition.").

P11 The dictionary definition of "restriction" is "[a] limitation or qualification

AND

dictate that the term "restriction" includes the ignition interlock device limitation.

### Yes Crackdown – AT: Public Likes Drones

#### Backlash to drones is mounting

Anderson, professor of international law at Washington College of Law, American University, 10-18-13

(Kenneth, “No Safe Havens?,” Hoover Digest, No. 4, Fall 2013 by Hoover Institution,

http://www.hoover.org/publications/hoover-digest/article/159096, accessed 10-19-13, CMM)

Ethical and effective—and yet today drone warfare is coming under increasingly¶ strong

AND

; much of¶ the elite international media; and Obama’s American left.

### 2ac – Transparency CP

#### Links to politics.

McNeal, Pepperdine University law professor, 3-14-13

[Gregory, “The Politics of Accountability for Targeted Killings” <http://www.lawfareblog.com/2013/03/the-politics-of-accountability-for-targeted-killings/#.Ut69FtIo7tQ>, accessed 1-21-14, TAP]

Does any member of Congress actually care? It seems that the targeted killing policy

AND

change is possible absent sufficient energy to overcome the current state of affairs.

#### Links to terrorism.

Bashir, Ph.D. candidate in the Department of Politics at Princeton University and a graduate of the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics at MIT, 12

(Omar, 9-24-12, “Who Watches the Drones?,” http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/138141/omar-s-bashir/who-watches-the-drones, accessed 10-6-13, CMM)

First, imagine that the government opted for full transparency in its drone programs.

AND

the courts that might render one of its most potent counterterrorism weapons unusable.

#### Hard law key to legal certainty – that’s key to both advs.

Shaffer, University of Minnesota law professor, and Pollack, Temple University political science professor, 2011

[Gregory and Mark, 52 B.C. L. Rev 1147, “ARTICLE: HARD VERSUS SOFT LAW IN INTERNATIONAL SECURITY” Lexis, accessed 1-21-14, TAP]

To effect specific policy goals, state and private actors increasingly turn to legal instruments

AND

are great but the potential for opportunism and its costs are high." n85

#### 3. Congressional codification is key to norms – CP accesses none of the prolif adv.

Maxwell, US Army colonel and judge advocate with the Army, 2012

[Mark David, National Defense University, Joint Force Quarterly, “Targeted killing, the law, and terrorists: feeling safe?” [http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Targeted+killing,+the+law,+and+terrorists%3A+feeling+safe%3F-a0289724330](http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Targeted%2Bkilling%2C%2Bthe%2Blaw%2C%2Band%2Bterrorists%3A%2Bfeeling%2Bsafe%3F-a0289724330), accessed 12-17-13, TAP]

The weakness of this theory is that it is not codified in U.S

AND

eschews what gives a state its greatest safety: the rule of law.

#### AND Mistrust overwhelms CP solvency.

Goldsmith, Harvard University law professor, 5-1-13

[Jack, “How Obama Undermined the War on Terror” <http://www.newrepublic.com/node/112964/print>, accessed 9-29-13, TAP]

These are unhappy developments for the president who in his first inaugural address pledged with

AND

more about the way of the knife through Freedom of Information Act requests.

A related sin is the Obama administration's surprising failure to secure formal congressional support.

AND

, even if it means that secret war abroad is harder to conduct.

### 2ac – Terrorism DA

#### Drone strikes are decreasing – Obama is exercising self-restraint now.

Ackerman, The Guardian, 12-31-13

[Spencer, “Fewer deaths from drone strikes in 2013 after Obama policy change” <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/dec/31/deaths-drone-strikes-obama-policy-change>, accessed 1-2-14, TAP]

President Barack Obama’s mid-year decision to wind down drone strikes has accounted for

AND

signature strikes,” Zenko said, “because it acknowledges they’ve done them.”

#### No uniqueness – AQ is strong.

Fantz, CNN, 12-28-13

[Ashley, “Still out there and growing -- al Qaeda on the rebound, experts say” <http://www.cnn.com/2013/12/28/world/meast/al-qaeda-growing/index.html?c=world&page=4>, accessed 12-29-13, TAP]

(CNN) -- Two years after the end of the Iraq war, the

AND

United States or against Western targets all around the world," he said.

#### No internal link – drones aren’t key.

Gilbreath, US Army War College MA candidate, 2013

[Gregory, US air force colonel, March 2013, “America’s Targeted Killing Policy: Is it Right? Is it Working?” <https://publicportal.carlisle.army.mil/sites/mobile/2013%20SRPs/Gilbreath%20Gregory%20SRPA.pdf>, p.11-2, accessed 12-23-13, TAP]

The policy of targeted killing has yielded tangible short-term results. The ramped

AND

it positively contributes to the long-term strategy of eliminating terrorism’s root ca

Further evaluation reveals that the policy potentially counters long-term goals because it breeds

AND

“removes any trace of a campaign to win hearts and minds.”55

Similar doubts about the effectiveness of a counterterrorism strategy without a complementary counterinsurgency strategy surfaced

AND

meet its long-term goals when terrorism’s roots are left in place.

Another measure that illuminates if a counterterrorism strategy is working is whether the targeted group

AND

more difficult and costly process of helping “local leaders marginalize militants.”64

#### No link to the plan.

Daskal, Georgetown Center on national security and the law professor, 2013

[Jennifer, 161 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1165, “The Geography of the Battlefield: A Framework for Detention and Targeting Outside the 'Hot' Conflict” http://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1252&context=facsch\_lawrev

Zone, p.1223-4, accessed 12-16-13, TAP]

Conversely, some object to the use of courts or court-like review as

AND

, the judges would be issuing a warrant to kill rather than surveil.

While this is significant, it should not fundamentally alter the legal analysis.187

AND

deal with¶ exceptional cases where ex ante approval is not possible.191

#### They need to win a link to a “limited” drone court.

Weinberger, University of Puget Sound political science professor, 2013

[Seth, Global Security Studies Review, May 2013, Volume I, Issue 2, “Enemies Among Us: The Targeted Killing of American Members of al Qaeda and the Need for Congressional Leadership” <https://www.academia.edu/4033328/Enemies_Among_Us_The_Targeted_Killing_of_American_Members_of_al_Qaeda_and_the_Need_for_Congressional_Leadership>, p.20, accessed 1-23-14, TAP]

Several people have voiced objections to the creation of a FISA-¶ style “drone

AND

nature of the armed conflict against al Qaeda, they become less compelling.

First, if properly defined, the new court could be limited solely to questions

AND

¶ individuals believed to be agents of a foreign power without a warrant.

Second, given the definition of imminent threat in the Department of Justice’s¶ white

AND

made in the process of long investigations and in light of much intelligence.

#### They would all be granted.

Johnson, former Pentagon general counsel, 3-18-13

[Jeh Charles, “Keynote address at the Center on National Security at Fordham Law School: A “Drone Court”: Some Pros and Cons” <http://www.lawfareblog.com/2013/03/jeh-johnson-speech-on-a-drone-court-some-pros-and-cons/>, accessed 9-3-13, TAP]

But, we must be realistic about the degree of added credibility such a court

AND

“drone court” operating in secret is criticized in the same way?

**3. No Pakistani collapse – internal checks**

Dasgupta, director of UMBC's Political Science Program and a senior fellow at Brookings, 13

(Sunil, 2/25/13, "How will India respond to civil war in Pakistan," East Asia Forum, http://www.eastasiaforum.org/2013/02/25/how-will-india-respond-to-civil-war-in-pakistan/, accessed 9-29-13, CMM)

India is not likely to initiate an intervention that causes the Pakistani state to fail

AND

out a Pakistani civil war while covertly coordinating policy with the United States.

#### No risk of nuclear terrorism

Mueller, Adjunct Professor in the Department of Political Science at Ohio State University, and Stewart, Professor and Director at the Centre for Infrastructure Performance and Reliability at the University of Newcastle in Australia, 12

(John, Senior Fellow at the Cato Institute, Senior Research Scientist at the Mershon Center for International Security Studies, and Mark, Australian Research Council Professorial Fellow, “The Terrorism Delusion,” International Security, Volume 37, Number 1, Summer 2012, project muse, accessed 7-14-13, CMM)

Over the course of time, such essentially delusionary thinking has been internalized and institutionalized

AND

on small explosives or contemplating planting a hand grenade in a trash bin.

### 2ac – Politics – Iran

#### Winners win on controversial issues

Hirsh, National Journal, 2-7-13

(Michael, “There’s No Such Thing as Political Capital,” http://www.nationaljournal.com/magazine/there-s-no-such-thing-as-political-capital-20130207?page=1, accessed 2-7-13, CMM)

Naturally, any president has practical and electoral limits. Does he have a majority

AND

right. He did. (At least until Vietnam, that is.)

#### Obama supports the plan.

Roberts, The Guardian, 5-24-13

[Dan, “Obama drone oversight proposal prompts concern over 'kill courts'” http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/may/24/obama-drone-vetting-kill-courts, accessed 9-18-13, TAP]

The president has asked Congress to consider establishing a special court or oversight board to

AND

but raises serious constitutional issues about presidential and judicial authority," he said.

#### No Israel strikes.

Cook, CFR Senior Fellow for Middle Eastern Studies, 9

(Steven A, 6-8-09, “Why Israel Won’t Attack Iran,”

http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2009/06/07/why\_israel\_wont\_attack\_iran, accessed 10-26-11, CMM)

There is no way of knowing for sure what the Israelis will do, but

AND

decides to act, they will not hear about it first on CNBC.

#### PC fails.

Mascaro, LAT, 1-14-14

(Lisa, “Iran sanctions bill standoff may not amount to much,” http://www.latimes.com/world/la-fg-iran-senate-20140115,0,4947167.story#axzz2rM04k8iQ, accessed 1-24-14, CMM)

Nevertheless, the White House is not taking any chances, voicing firm opposition to

AND

a march to war, as some critics have suggested," he wrote.

#### PC not key – Reid is.

Kaper, National Journal, 1-17-14

[Stacy, National Journal, “U.S. Senate's Iran Hawks Flounder Against Reid-Obama Coalition”,

<http://www.nti.org/gsn/article/us-senates-iran-hawks-flounder-against-reid-obama-coalition/?mgs1=92ebeq5GVx>, accessed 1-17-14, AFB]

The U.S. Senate's Iran hawks have lots of votes to back their

AND

this is something at this moment that Senator Reid doesn't want to happen."

#### Details trigger momentum for sanctions.

Global Security Newswire, 1-17-14

[“Worries Persist After Release of Iran Nuclear-Pact Terms”, <http://www.nti.org/gsn/article/worries-persist-over-iran-nuclear-pact-terms/?mgs1=7f2beq5GUU>, accessed 1-17-14, AFB]

U.S. lawmaker concerns persisted about talks with Iran following Thursday's circulation of

AND

backer of an Iran-sanctions bill under consideration in the upper chamber.

### 2ac – Security K

#### 6. The absolutism of their alternative results in tunnel vision – evaluate consequences.

Isaac, Indiana University James H. Rudy Professor of Political Science and Center for the Study of Democracy and Public Life director, Spring 2002

(Jeffrey C. “Ends, Means, and Politics,” Dissent Magazine Vol. 49 Issue 2, p32)

Power is not a dirty word or an unfortunate feature of the world. It

AND

not true believers. It promotes arrogance. And it undermines political effectiveness.

#### Constructing prior questions doesn’t disprove the aff – it results in generalizations and inaction.

Owen, University of Southampton political theory professor, 2002

[David, Millennium Journal of International Studies, Vol 31 No 3, “Re-orienting International Relations: On Pragmatism, Pluralism, and Practical Reason” Sagepub, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Commenting on the ‘philosophical turn’ in IR, Wæver remarks that ‘[a]¶

AND

helped to promote the IR theory wars by motivating this¶ philosophical turn.

The first danger with the philosophical turn is that it has an inbuilt tendency to

AND

it¶ is not the only or even necessarily the most important kind.

The second danger run by the philosophical turn is that because prioritisation of ontology and

AND

the promotion of the pursuit of generality over that of empirical¶ validity.

The third danger is that the preceding two combine to encourage the¶ formation of

AND

first¶ and second dangers, and so a potentially vicious circle arises.

#### Perm solves – experts not mutually exclusive with the alt – also the aff is a prerequisite because transparency is necessary for the alt to solve AND the aff solves because groupthink precludes effective screening of experts.

Cole, Georgetown University law professor, 2012

[David, 44 Conn. L. Rev. 1627, “COMMENTARY: NATIONAL SECURITY: RESPONSE: Confronting the Wizard of Oz: National Security, Expertise, and Secrecy” Lexis, accessed 1-20-14, TAP]

The issue, in other words, is not whether to rely on experts,

AND

survival of our polity, but its survival in the form we choose.

#### Alt fails – public apathy.

Margulies, Northwestern University law professor, and Herrine, New York University JD candidate, 2012

[Joseph and Luke, 44 Conn. L. Rev. 1491, “COMMENTARY: NATIONAL SECURITY: RESPONSE: Republican Virtue and Expert Discourse: A Response to Professor Rana” Lexis, accessed 1-20-14, TAP]

Aziz Rana's analysis of the elitist turn in American security discourse could not be timelier

AND

respective heads, of which only the briefest sampling can be offered here.

#### Threats are real.

Earl C. Ravenal 9, distinguished senior fellow in foreign policy studies @ Cato, is professor emeritus of the Georgetown University School of Foreign Service. He is an expert on NATO, defense strategy, and the defense budget. He is the author of *Designing Defense for a New World Order.*What's Empire Got to Do with It? The Derivation of America's Foreign Policy.” *Critical Review: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Politics and Society* 21.1 (2009) 21-75

The underlying notion of “the security bureaucracies . . . looking for new enemies

AND

or to a lack of sufficient imagination to exploit opportunities for personal profit.

#### Framing is irrelevant.

Reiter, Emory University political science professor, 1995

[Dan, International Security, Vol. 20, No. 2, “Exploding the Powder Keg Myth: Preemptive Wars Almost Never Happen” JSTOR, p.15-16, accessed 10-7-13, TAP]

A criticism of assessing the frequency of preemptive wars by looking only at wars themselves

AND

cancer, this is not demonstrated by the results of such a test.